Case 1:07-cv-00071-MPT Document 23 Filed 11/01/2007 Page 1 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BENJAMIN E. JACKSON, SR.,

Plaintiff,

v. : C.A. No. 07-71-MPT

THE URSULINE ACADEMY OF WILMINGTON, DELAWARE, INC. a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant

REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER

This 12th day of October, 2007, the Court having conducted a Status Conference by telephone on October 12, 2007, the following portions of this Court's May 8, 2007 Scheduling Order are hereby revised as follows;

IT IS ORDERED that:

- c. <u>Discovery Cut Off.</u> All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before December 31, 2007. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.
- d. <u>Disclosure of Expert Testimony</u>. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before November 14, 2007. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another

party is due on or before December 14, 2007. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions, which is January 16, 2008.

- 11. Pretrial Conference. On September 12, 2008, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at 9:00 a.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order on or before September 5, 2008.
- 12. Motions in Limine. Motions in limine shall not be separately filed. All in limine requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The in limine request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each in limine request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an in limine request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three (3) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on in limine requests, unless otherwise

Document 23

Filed 11/01/2007

Page 3 of 3

permitted by the Court.

- 13. <u>Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms</u>. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanied by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.
- 14. <u>Trial</u>. This matter is scheduled for a four day jury trial beginning at 9:30 a.m. on October 6, 2008. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of 10 hours to present their case.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE